



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/814,365 | 03/31/2004 | Omar Habib Khan | 24207-10084 | 7766 |
| 62296 | 7590 | 10/22/2007 | | |
| GOOGLE / FENWICK SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA ST. MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041 | | | EXAMINER DAYE, CHELCIE L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2161 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/22/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/814,365 | KHAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Chelcie Daye | 2161 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Chelcie Daye. (3) Robin Reasoner.
 (2) Sana Al-Hashemi. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Malik and Bharat.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 APU MOFIZ
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant began the interview by giving a brief summary of the claimed invention. Next, a discussion about the last limitation within the claim (i.e., creating an implicit search query based at least in part on the plurality of named entities) was discussed. The examiners pointed out the vagueness of the claim limitation and the possible confusion for which it presents. For example, applicant's need to further elaborate on what they mean by an implicit search query and where/how the query is derived. Also, the statement "based at least in part on", was deemed unclear. Lastly, the examiners did not see where the invention was being done on a computer, because based on the present claim language, it is not necessary for the invention to be used with a computer.